

FILING DATE

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152



UNITED STATES D ARTIMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

	R	D	6819/3		
08/372.509 01/13/95 BISKE					
	3M1/0402	ванта.		AMINER	
CHRISTOPHER M CAVAN WILLIAN BRINKS HOFER GILSON (AND LIONE	District	ART UNIT	DADED	NUMBER
P O BOX 10395		1317	ANT ORSI	1 100	·
CHICAGO IL 60610		1017	- 64 (65/07	9	
			DATE MALLED:		
This is a communication from the examiner in ch COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEM			·		
	OFFICE ACTION	SUMMARY	•		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on					·
This action is FINAL.					
Since this application is in condition for allow accordance with the practice under Ex parte A shortened statutory period for response to this whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this	Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11:	453 O.G. 213.		-	
whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S. 1.136(a).	.C. § 133). Extensions	of time may be o	btained under the	provisions	of 37 CFR
Disposition of Claims					
□ Claim(s)/-3, 7					
Of the above, claim(s)			is/are with	drawn fro	m consideration.
☐ Claim(s)				is/a	re allowed.
☐ Claim(s) /-3/	, 7 and	10-31		is/a	re rejected.
☐ Claim(s)				is/are	objected to.
Claims		are	subject to restrict	ion or elec	ction requirement
Application Papers					
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's	s Patent Drawing Revie	w, PTO-948.			
••			ected to by the Ex	aminer.	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's		is/are ob			disapproved
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's The drawing(s) filed on	1	is/are ob			disapproved
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on	aminer.	is/are ob			disapproved
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exc	aminer.	is/are ob			disapproved
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exc	aminer. the Examiner.	is/are obj	is 🗌 a		disapproved
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exc ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	aminer. the Examiner.	is/are obj	is □ a		disapproved
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Exc □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for form	aminer. the Examiner.	is/are obj	is □ a		disapproved
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Exc □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for for All □ Some* □ None of the CER	aminer. the Examiner. reign priority under 35 the p	is/are obj	is 🗌 a		disapproved
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Exc □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for for □ All □ Some* □ None of the CER □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Cook	aminer. the Examiner. reign priority under 35 tallifet opies of the p	is/are obj	is □ a		disapproved
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Exc □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for for □ All □ Some* □ None of the CER □ received.	aminer. the Examiner. reign priority under 35 tallifet opies of the p	is/are obj	is □ a		disapproved
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Exc □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for formula and the Some □ None of the CER □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Cool □ received in this national stage applications)	aminer. the Examiner. reign priority under 35 in a continuous of the particular de/Serial Number)	J.S.C. § 119(a) riority documents	is a		disapproved
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Ext The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for for All Some None of the CERT received. received in Application No. (Series Cooling received in this national stage application of the CERT received in this national stage application.)	aminer. the Examiner. reign priority under 35 in a continuous of the particular de/Serial Number)	J.S.C. § 119(a) riority documents	is a		disapproved
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Exc □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for form of the CER □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Cool □ received in this national stage application of the CER □ received in this national stage application of the CER □ received in this national stage application of the CER □ received in this national stage application of the CER □ received in this national stage application of the CER □ received in this national stage application of the CER □ received in this national stage application of the CER □ received in this national stage application of the CER □ received in this national stage application of the CER □ received in this national stage application of the CER □ received in this national stage application of the CER □ received in this national stage application of the CER □ received in this national stage application of the CER □ received in this national stage application of the CER	aminer. the Examiner. reign priority under 35 in a continuous of the particular de/Serial Number)	J.S.C. § 119(a) riority documents	is a		disapproved
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	aminer. the Examiner. reign priority under 35 in a continuous of the particular de/Serial Number) tion from the Internation omestic priority under 3	J.S.C. § 119(a) riority documents al Bureau (PCT I	is a		disapproved
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Exc The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for for All Some None of the CER received. received in Application No. (Series Cod received in this national stage application *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for do Attachment(e) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892	aminer. the Examiner. reign priority under 35 in a continuous of the particular de/Serial Number) tion from the Internation omestic priority under 3	J.S.C. § 119(a) riority documents al Bureau (PCT I	is a		disapproved

- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES -

Serial Number: 08/372,509

Art Unit: 1317

Applicant's response filed 01/16/97 has been evaluated but do not overcome the rejection.

Claims 1-3, 7, 10-31 are before the Examiner.

Claims 1-3, 7, and 10-31 remain rejected as set forth in the last Office Action.

The Applicant argues that the teaching of Travis does not render the claimed invention obvious because the construction of the waterproof wall ornament in Travis does not suggest it be used as a floor tile. Further, that the teaching of Travis involves providing a wall ornament that will withstand the moisture and water environment of a bathtub enclosure, and specifies using a special moisture proof, water resin ink upon a sheet of a synthetic paper and that the synthetic sheet is nonabsorbent and highly impervious against penetration by ink, water or other fluids and provides a substrate for imprinting thereon a graphic illustration by offset printing, silkscreen printing or lithography. Said argument is respectfully rebutted as follows: first, the reference to Travis teaches the aspect of providing a full scale photograph or print of a particular selected design for mounting upon a wall of a shower, bathtub, Jacuzzi or Sauna. See column 1, lines 60 - column 2, line 7 and column 2, lines 50-53 and lines 60-64. The Examiner acknowledges that the reference does not specifically mention use of the enlarged photographic print as a floor tile, however it is a common knowledge in the

Serial Number: 08/372,509

Art Unit: 1317

prior art to enlarge a photograph print of any design and affix the print in any desired product of virus objects for the purpose of providing an effective way of enhancing visual decor in commercial setting.

Concerning attaching a protective coating to a photograph print is notorious well known step of providing protection the print against scratch, scuff, wear or any other injury. See US Patent 4,125,653 column 4, lines 35-52. Various protective coating are available, thus the skilled person would find it obvious or is capable in selecting such a useful protective material depending where or how the enlarged photograph print is used.

In response to Applicant's argument that the Examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgement of obviousness is on a sense necessary a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But as long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time of the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. \$ 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS

Serial Number: 08/372,509

Art Unit: 1317

ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Abraham Bahta at telephone number (703) 308-4412.

PATRICK RYAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 1300

A. Bahta

03/31/97